

Summary of Notifiable Complaints (NC) related to Vice Premier’s Visit

Case	Summary of the Case	Remarks
1	<p>COMs (seven ordinary citizens) learnt from the media of the public order events that took place at HKU and Laguna City on 16 and 17 August 2011. They made the following allegations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>(i) A police officer (COMEE 1) unlawfully detained some students at HKU. [<b>Allegation (a) – Unnecessary Use of Authority</b>]</li><li>(ii) COMEE 1 used excessive force on some students at HKU and did not allow them to enter certain area of the HKU campus. [<b>Allegation (b) – Unnecessary Use of Authority</b>]</li><li>(iii) COMEE 1 disallowed reporters to enter certain area of the HKU campus. [<b>Allegation (c) – Unnecessary Use of Authority</b>]</li><li>(iv) Another police officer (COMEE 2) arrested a male at Laguna City without justifiable reason. [<b>Allegation (d) - Unnecessary Use of Authority</b>]</li></ul>	<p>The complaint was categorised as “NC” since it was not made by or on behalf of a complainant directly affected by the police conduct.</p>
2	<p>COMs (five ordinary citizens) learnt from the press that a male was arrested at Laguna City on 16 August 2011. They separately made the following allegations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>(i) A police officer (COMEE) arrested the male at Laguna City without justifiable reason. [<b>Allegation (a) - Unnecessary Use of Authority</b>]</li><li>(ii) COMEE failed to declare his police identity to the male during the arrest. [<b>Allegation (b) – Neglect of Duty</b>]</li><li>(iii) COMEE used excessive force on the male during the arrest. [<b>Allegation (c) – Unnecessary Use of Authority</b>].</li></ul>	<p>The complaint was categorised as “NC” since it was not made by or on behalf of a complainant directly affected by the police conduct.</p>

Case	Summary of the Case	Remarks
3	<p>COM claimed himself a resident residing at Connaught Road West. He alleged that:</p> <p>(i) Around 0830 hours on 18 August 2011, there was road closure in Western district but a senior police officer (COMEE 1) failed to make proper announcement to the public regarding police deployment in Western district. <b>[Allegation (a) – Neglect of Duty]</b></p> <p>(ii) Another police officer (COMEE 2) inappropriately directed a woman not to stay on the roadside at the material time. <b>[Allegation (b) – Neglect of Duty]</b></p>	<p>The complaint was categorised as “NC” since it was not made by or on behalf of a complainant directly affected by the police conduct.</p>
4	<p>COMs (five ordinary citizens) learnt from the media that the Commissioner of Police (COMEE) had attended the LegCo special panel meeting on 29 August 2011 and made some comments on the police action at HKU on 18 August 2011.</p> <p>(i) COM 1 alleged that COMEE lied to the members of the special panel of LegCo regarding the police action taken on 3 students at HKU on 18 August 2011. <b>[Allegation (a) - Misconduct]</b></p> <p>(ii) COM 2 alleged that COMEE failed to take proper action in response to the query made by LegCo member WONG Yuk-man during the special panel meeting on 29 August 2011. <b>[Allegation (b) - Neglect of Duty]</b></p> <p>(iii) COM 3 to 5 alleged that COMEE told a lie at the LegCo special panel meeting on 29 August 2011. <b>[Allegations (c) to (e) – Misconduct]</b></p>	<p>The complaint was categorised as “NC” since it was not made by or on behalf of a complainant directly affected by the police conduct.</p>

Case	Summary of the Case	Remarks
5	<p>COM learnt from the press that on 3 September 2011 some protestors staged a protest outside the police headquarters (PHQ) to express their view on the failure of the Police on 29 August 2011 to give an accurate account of the Police action at the security panel meeting of the LegCo. COM alleged that the protestors insulted the police by covering the police badge displayed on the outer wall of PHQ but the Police failed to take any action to control such activity. <b>[Allegation – Neglect of Duty]</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- COM stated that he only wished to reflect his opinion to police.</li> <li>- The complaint was categorised as “NC” since it was not made by or on behalf of a complainant directly affected by the police conduct.</li> </ul>
6	<p>COM (a District Councillor) stated that he had received a number of complaints from the citizens that on 18 August 2011, police improperly conducted traffic control on Pokfulam Road without making prior announcement to the public so that many citizens were caught in a traffic jam on Pokfulam Road. He alleged that COMEE failed to make proper arrangement for the road closure on the material day. <b>[Allegation – Neglect of Duty]</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- COM explained that he lodged a complaint on behalf of the citizens reflecting the issue to him. However, COM refused to disclose the particulars / identities of the citizens.</li> <li>- COM confirmed that he was not directly affected by the matter stated in the complaint. Therefore, the complaint was categorised as “NC”.</li> </ul>