Appendix 3

Classification of Investigation Results

After Full Investigation	
Substantiated	An allegation is classified as "Substantiated" where there is sufficient
	reliable evidence to support the allegation made by the complainant.
Substantiated	An allegation is classified as "SOTR" where matters other than the
Other Than	original allegations raised by the complainant, which are closely
Reported (SOTR)	associated with the complaint and have a major impact on the
	investigation, have been discovered and are found to be substantiated.
Not Fully	An allegation is classified as "Not Fully Substantiated" where there is
Substantiated	some reliable evidence to support the allegation, but it is insufficient to
	fully substantiate the complaint.
Unsubstantiated	Where there is insufficient evidence to support the allegation.
No Fault	Two common reasons for classifying a complaint as "No Fault" are
	first, the complainant may have misunderstood the facts; and second,
	the complainee was acting under the lawful instructions of his superior
	officer or in accordance with established police practice.
False	An allegation is classified as "False" where there is sufficient reliable
	evidence to indicate that the allegation made by the complainant is
	untrue, be it a complaint with clear malicious intent or a complaint
	which is not based upon genuine conviction or sincere belief but with
	no element of malice.
Without Full Inve	stigation
Withdrawn	A complainant is classified as "Withdrawn" where the complainant
	does not wish to pursue the complaint made.
	Even when a complainant initiates the withdrawal of a complaint,
	IPCC will ensure that no undue influence has been exerted on the
	complainant, and that the Police can learn from the complaint. IPCC
	will also ensure that CAPO will take corresponding remedial action.

Without Full Investigation (cont'd)	
Withdrawn (Cont'd)	A complainant's withdrawal does not necessarily result in the case being classified as "Withdrawn". IPCC and CAPO will examine the available evidence to ascertain whether a full investigation is warranted despite the withdrawal and/or whether any of the allegations are substantiated on the basis of information available.
Not Pursuable	 An allegation is classified as "Not Pursuable" when:- the identity of the complainee cannot be ascertained; there is insufficient information to proceed with the investigation; or the cooperation of the complainant cannot be obtained to proceed with the investigation. The above definition does not mean that no further action will be taken when the complainant cannot identify the complainee. CAPO will make an effort to identify the complainee(s) on the basis of the information available. Only after such an effort has been made to no avail will the conclusion be reached that the identity of the complainee cannot be ascertained. If a complaint has been classified as "Not Pursuable" due to the lack
	of cooperation from the complainant, it may be reactivated later when the complainant comes forward to provide the necessary information.
Informally Resolved	The Informal Resolution Scheme aims at a speedy resolution of minor complaints, such as allegations of impoliteness or use of offensive, the nature of which is considered relatively minor.
	A minor complaint suitable for "Informal Resolution" will not be subject to a full investigation. Instead, a senior officer, at least at the rank of Chief Inspector of Police, will act as the Conciliating Officer. He will make enquiry into the facts of a complaint with the complainant and the complainee separately. If he is satisfied that the matter is suitable for "Informal Resolution", and with the agreement of the complainant, the complaint will be informally resolved.